

FSLN VIEWPOINTS

Addressing challenges in financing climate transition

December 2024



Large financial institutions remain committed to achieving climate goals and mobilizing more capital to finance decarbonization efforts. However, they face political, technological, and investment barriers—many of which are outside their control—to reaching their objectives and scaling sustainable finance. Ultimately, most leaders say that policy must lead the way to enable more private investment.

On October 15 and 16 in New York and on October 29 in London, board directors and senior executives from leading financial institutions gathered to discuss how approaches to climate transition and sustainable finance are evolving, given competing pressures and priorities, and the steps needed to accelerate progress. Participants also discussed emerging AI applications and the broader oversight of tech transformation; a companion *ViewPoints* focusing on that topic is available [here](#).

For a list of participants, please see page 10.

This *ViewPoints*¹ explores the following key topics that emerged from these meetings and related conversations:

[What is impeding efforts to finance climate transition?](#)

[How can capital be mobilized at scale to transition to a low-carbon economy?](#)

What is impeding efforts to finance climate transition?

The formation of the Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero at the 2021 UN Climate Change Conference saw financial services placed at the center of discussions about climate-transition efforts. Many financial institutions established emission-reduction targets and set goals to achieve net-zero emissions. One participant referred to this as the “hype peak” for sustainable finance, as “everyone rushed to be a part of the movement.”

In the years since, progress toward shared goals across advanced economies has been limited. Many financial institutions have left these alliances frustrated by shifting mandates or nervous about legal and political challenges. Others point to exogenous factors inhibiting their ability to achieve commitments. A recent study by the European Central Bank, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and Columbia School of Business concluded that commercial banks’ net-zero pledges have not significantly reduced their lending to carbon-intensive industries or increased financing for renewable-energy projects.² A participant stated, “None of us will likely meet our targets. Is that transition risk? No, it’s reputation risk.”

The need for financing models to support decarbonization efforts is clear, but as an executive said, “It’s always easier said than done. Everyone says ‘Oh, we didn’t know how hard it would be!’ Of course, it’s really hard and of course there will be serious roadblocks.”

Energy demand is growing, with continued dependence on fossil fuels

Despite growing support for the need for climate action, carbon emissions have continued to increase over the last decade, reaching record levels of 37.4 billion metric tons in 2023.³ Fossil fuels still accounted for over 80% of global primary energy consumption in 2023, despite the expansion of green energy technologies.⁴

Artificial intelligence (AI) and other technology advances are driving increased energy demand: Goldman Sachs Research estimates that data-center power demand will grow 160% by 2030, potentially doubling the carbon dioxide emissions of data centers between 2022 and 2030.⁵ The question, according to one participant, is “Will AI be able to solve the problem that is AI?” While some participants were skeptical of that, another sounded an optimistic tone: “Historically, we tend to be better at

“None of us will likely meet our targets. Is that transition risk? No, it’s reputation risk.”

—Participant

controlling our energy use than we think we will be. And AI is creating a demand pull that will hasten development of clean and renewable energy.”

Geopolitics and domestic politics are challenging government leadership

Climate action has become a divisive issue in global politics. Not only is a lack of proactive policy slowing transition finance but, in some cases, political forces are actively working against efforts to finance decarbonization. Donald Trump’s re-election in the United States could lead to a rise in US demand for oil and gas, and the withdrawal of the US from the Paris climate treaty.⁶ In Europe, the success of right-leaning political parties in elections both to the EU Parliament and within various member countries have the potential to slow the adoption of climate policies.⁷ Opponents of coordinated efforts to address climate change have used state laws and threats of potential antitrust violations or breaches of fiduciary duty to challenge financial institutions in many US states, prompting further departures from groups like the Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero and Climate Action 100+.⁸ This is limiting financial institutions’ ability to coordinate their efforts: *“We are somewhat restricted from working with our peers now,”* reported one participant, while another said, *“Antitrust issues in the US have stopped us talking to each other.”*

Government policies, whether mandates about renewable energy usage, financial incentives, or direct investments in climate transition, require significant political will. In democratic societies, shifting political winds and a lack of public consensus can be debilitating. *“Government policy and consistency of government policy is important, if not the most important factor. I’d like to see a government of any color maintain that consistency, but a lot of this is political suicide, so it won’t happen,”* said one participant.

Even if a government can push through significant climate policy, it may not survive the next election cycle. As one director observed, *“Things may not be left the way they are by the next government unless it remains the political flavor of the day, so you need new approaches that are immune to politics or it is not sustainable. We cannot sustain things for long periods of time in democracies.”* Participants contrasted these challenges with some of the progress on renewable energy emerging from centrally planned economies: *“Look at China and Saudi [Arabia]. There are some interesting green shoots there,”* noted one participant.

“AI is creating a demand pull that will hasten development of clean and renewable energy.”

—Executive

Another said, *“China has a 15-year head start.”* The largest markets will have to lead, but cooperation remains challenged by geopolitics. *“The United States, European Union, and China will solve this; the rest of the world will then adopt what’s developed by them,”* a participant said.

Green projects often require financing that is misaligned with traditional investment vehicles

Many green projects are risky, with high upfront costs and long payback periods. An executive said, *“I can see the challenges incumbents are facing with return expectations that don’t match long-term requirements for investors.”* Even well-intentioned financial institutions are limited by their responsibility to customers and investors. A participant observed, *“Pension funds will never say, ‘I will take the discount to get it done’ because they have a fiduciary duty.”*

Aligning different forms of financing along the capital stack is complex for large-scale infrastructure and energy projects. At the early stages of many green projects, they rarely fit the model for traditional venture capital but have a risk profile that is too high for other forms of early-stage financing. *“Financing big green investments, like infrastructure and energy, requires alignment of risk appetite along the value chain—but it is tech and infrastructure colliding. We don’t have great avenues for financing infrastructure projects with technology risk,”* explained one executive. And large financial institutions generally do not invest in early-stage clean technology companies and projects: *“There is lots of venture capital in clean tech, but we are in the pre-revenue-generating phase. There are very few opportunities for banks.”* Another executive said, *“We are monitoring 14 projects across Europe; only two are bankable.”*

“We are monitoring 14 projects across Europe; only two are bankable.”

—Executive

How can capital be mobilized at scale to transition to a low-carbon economy?

Despite waning momentum and some real obstacles, there have been significant investments and some progress toward energy transition. *“Pessimism sounds smart, but optimism makes money,”* stated one executive. Another observed, *“There have been some positive signs. Look at the rate of renewable installations globally. It’s 50% more than in 2023. There has been a slowdown in electric vehicle [EV] growth, but in Q1 of 2024, EV sales were 25% higher than the year before.”* Another executive noted that *“\$1.8 trillion was invested in the clean-energy transition in 2023,”* but *“that needs to double to hit emissions-reduction*

goals.” According to some estimates, achieving net-zero goals by 2050 will require an estimated \$300 trillion of investment, with an expected 70% financing coming from private markets.⁹ Ultimately, small victories are insufficient to the task. *“Energy transformation will likely be the largest capital event the world has seen,”* said one participant.

Financial institutions are keen to take advantage of potential opportunities. One participant said, *“To financial services, it looks like an opportunity; to others, it looks like a cost. But the cost of climate change will be greater than the cost of transitioning. It is just that the costs will be borne by different people.”* One executive put bluntly: *“Think about climate change as one of the world’s great wealth destruction events.”*

Government action is essential to driving the transition

Supportive government policies and actions are crucial to mobilizing more capital to support major energy-transition projects. As several participants noted, the *“finance-centric theory of change”* whereby financial services is viewed as the primary transition mechanism for the broader economy, is proving ineffective. *“We are just one piece of the puzzle. Government, policy, investments, and technology—if all that stuff doesn’t happen, what we are doing will not work,”* an executive asserted. Another participant said, *“Governments will have to pay one way or another: either for the effects of climate change or to transition our energy sources, etcetera.”*

Creating needed incentives

Tax incentives and related government initiatives can play an important role in attracting private investment. A participant highlighted the positive effect of the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) in the US, noting, *“The IRA and the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act have collectively provided \$900 billion in support over the past two years. Due to tax credits, there are more clean power projects in the pipeline waiting to be connected to the grid than power being generated in the United States.”* Participants in other markets see the IRA as policy to be emulated: *“Our policies are far behind the US. We need something like the IRA,”* one executive said.

Supporting infrastructure investment

In many developed markets, *“infrastructure is dated,”* one participant said. *“It needs upgrading, but not in the ways we have done in the past.”*

“We are just one piece of the puzzle. Government, policy, investments, and technology—if all that stuff doesn’t happen, what we are doing will not work.”

—Executive

This will require a new way of acquiring capital. There are some projects that require tax incentives and offtake agreements because they don't create cash flows while being built. Government support can provide cash flow during the construction process, and then they're standalone and viable once construction is finished."

Improving consistency and harmonization of regulation and reporting

By one estimate, there are now 54 different sustainable finance taxonomies globally.¹⁰ Regulation is equally uneven and inconsistent. *"Regulation and reporting taxonomies in the UK are different from what you have in the US, which is different from what you have in Singapore."*

A participant said that *"disclosures and taxonomy are now an important driver of change"* and suggested there was room for additional clarity regarding the definition of *"sustainable finance"* to avoid greenwashing. The European Union has arguably taken the lead on regulatory policy through the EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities, the European Green Deal, and the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD). The CSRD introduced a mandatory framework for sustainability reporting that requires companies to disclose financial risks from climate change as well as environmental and societal impacts, thereby providing transparency and trust in sustainability data that can help inform sustainable financing activities. One participant described the CSRD as a *"game changer,"* emphasizing that *"it is different from past voluntary disclosures."*

Progress will require innovative financing solutions to attract capital and de-risk investment

Governments can help derisk these investments if they can provide first-loss capital. A participant noted, *"We are not just talking about risk capital—we need loss capital. It's capital that we have to assume will not come back."* This initial funding has come from philanthropic investment in some cases but will necessarily come from governments if the scale required is to be achieved.

The private sector will also need to be creative in order to build financing structures for transition finance. A director said, *"We are trying to solve a problem with tools that aren't up to the task. To change the outcome, we need to change the cost of capital."* Another executive said, *"Regulators have asked me to list the new financial products*

"We are not just talking about risk capital—we need loss capital."

—Director

needed to finance the transition, and my answer is zero. We have all the financial products we need; what we need are new financial structures.”

One participant emphasized the need for “collaborative finance”—not just “blended finance”—to “create the right type of capital mix and transition between different types of capital providers as companies grow and evolve.” Even within the confines of existing structures, one participant encouraged new thinking: “It is difficult when things operate outside the bank’s risk tolerance or risk frameworks, but even within these, there is so much more we can do: new ways to look at risks, new ways to underwrite, new business models.” This participant continued, “Legislation has created the opportunity for pools of capital to enter and allowed us to create more innovative capital stacks. You have philanthropic funds that come in first; then you get into the family office space, which is mission and purpose driven; third layer is federal loans and grants; then some of the tax credits leads you into the venture climate space, then private equity; and then large joint ventures that can then access traditional capital models and raise equity. Being able to stitch these together with the overlay of government incentives can be powerful.”

“We have all the financial products we need; what we need are new financial structures.”

—Executive

Still, some participants see a long road ahead. When one participant asked, “How long before these kinds of investments are self-sustaining, where they no longer rely on green incentives?” participants estimated most were still five to 10 years away.

Financial institutions should maintain focus on addressing client needs

A bank executive observed, “If we look at where progress is being made, it comes down to what the banks are good at: engaging with clients. It would be easy to decarbonize the bank—we would just have to shrink the balance sheet by not doing business with some clients—but that would not solve the problem.” Another said, “We have to solve problems for our clients, take the signals from them.”

One participant highlighted four things financial institutions need:

- **Insights.** “Do we know what’s really going on in the space, where decarbonization is happening?”
- **Talent.** “You need the right people doing the right things.”
- **Risk frameworks and tolerances.** “We need new asset classes,

new ways to look at risk, and do things we've never done before."

- **Products.** *"We need to develop the right products to align with market needs."*

Changes outside the financial system will continue to shape opportunities for sustainable investments

In addition to innovative financial structures and supportive government actions, participants noted that there are a range of developments in the real economy that can drive demand for transition finance and create investment opportunities for large financial institutions. One participant observed, *"You don't have projects sitting on sidelines waiting for capital. There isn't enough demand."*

Participants identified a series of exogenous developments that will affect green investment opportunities:

- **Advancements in renewable energy.** While significant advancements have been made in wind and solar energy, further technological advancements are required to enable a more reliable energy supply. A participant acknowledged, *"We can't get to our goal with renewables the way they stand today. We have to look at new projects."* Developments like smart grids and improved battery energy storage systems are crucial.
- **Expansion of nuclear energy.** The use of nuclear energy is likely to expand, at least in the near term. *"Small modular nuclear—lots of people think that's the answer,"* predicted one participant. Google and Microsoft have both recently made significant commitments to long-term renewable-energy sourcing.¹¹ At New York Climate Week, 14 financial institutions, including Bank of America, Morgan Stanley and Goldman Sachs, demonstrated support for a COP28 initiative to triple global nuclear energy capacity by 2050.¹² One executive reported, *"Demand for things like nuclear is going to grow. The question is, How orderly can we make it and how much are we able to lean into the opportunity?"* While nuclear energy has started to gain support, one executive advised, *"Let's remember that nuclear is not clean, just low carbon. I don't see a future where it pushes all renewables to the side, but we're getting better at using nuclear more efficiently."* Despite the renewed focus on nuclear, a participant noted, *"There is nothing happening today in terms of private financing for nuclear energy."*

"We can't get to our goal with renewables the way they stand today. We have to look at new projects."

—Executive

"Demand for things like nuclear is going to grow. The question is, How orderly can we make it and how much are we able to lean into the opportunity?"

—Executive

- **Developing needed skills and capabilities.** An EY executive said, “[There is a need for] knowledge and skills. We need 2,300 electrical engineers to invest in energy transition. We have a fundamental problem of a talent and skills gap, so how do you invest in and build talent? You can invest and have the financing, but if the talent isn’t there, that is an issue.” More broadly, developed economies, where the manufacturing base has eroded over the last several decades, will require the development of new capabilities. “It’s been a long time since we financed industrialization in the US, and we have lost practice. Putting steel and cement in the ground isn’t something we are that great at anymore,” observed one participant.
- **Encouraging systemic shifts in consumer behavior.** An executive suggested, “If demand for oil remains high, there will be continued reliance on fossil fuels. A big part of achieving this goal is changing consumer preferences.” Another executive agreed, “How can we shift consumer behavior? If it doesn’t shift, none of this is going to work.” Recent extreme weather events—hurricanes in the US, extreme heat and flooding in Europe, wildfires in Canada—could help to shift public sentiment.
- **Addressing supply-chain risks from geopolitical tensions.** One participant observed, “China is really taking off. We need to develop our own tech so we can export it.” Diversifying supply chains and international cooperation is essential to tackle the global crisis of climate change, especially given China’s dominance in certain raw materials needed for sustainable energy technologies. “You can’t avoid relying on China to be a significant part of the transition,” a participant said.

Many experts say the most recent climate finance agreements emerging from the 2024 UN Climate Change Conference in Baku, Azerbaijan, are too little, too late. One group of researchers insists it is “necessary to mobilize climate finance now—starting at full scale in 2025—not ‘by 2035.’”¹³ One summit participant suggested that even as government action is required, a leadership role remains for financial institutions in driving this investment: “Ideally, climate finance should be blessed by government but led by financial institutions so that they can work at that nexus between public and private. We have the expertise.”

“It’s been a long time since we financed industrialization in the US, and we have lost practice.”

—Executive

Meeting participants

The following individuals participated in the meetings or related conversations:

Participants

André Abadie, Managing Director, Head of Centre for Carbon Transition, JPMorgan

Nora Aufreiter, Human Capital and Compensation Committee Chair, Scotiabank

Kristen Bennie, Group Head of Partnerships and Innovation, Barclays

Paola Bergamaschi, Non-Executive Director, AIG

Paul Bishop, Audit Committee Chair, AXA XL and Zurich Assurance, Chair of the Board MetLife UK

Matt Brewis, Director, General Insurance and Conduct Specialists, Financial Conduct Authority

Craig Broderick, Risk Review Committee Chair, BMO Financial Group

Agnes Bundy Scanlan, Nominating and Governance Committee Chair, Truist Financial

Megan Butler, Non-Executive Director, Morgan Stanley

Kathy Byrne, Non-Executive Director, Just Group
Rashmy Chatterjee, Technology Committee Chair, Allianz

Amy Chou, Chief Product Officer, Addition Wealth

Teresa Clarke, Non-Executive Director, Arthur J. Gallagher

James Cole, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chair, AIG

Martha Cummings, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chair, Marqeta

Pierre-Olivier Desaulle, Senior Independent Director, Beazley

Baiju Devani, Chief Technology and Product Officer, Armilla AI

Bindu Dhaliwal, Senior Vice President, ESG and Corporate Governance, CIBC

Carmine Di Sibio, Non-Executive Director, PayPal and Prudential Financial

Andrea Doss, Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer, State Farm

Anne Melissa Dowling, Finance Committee Chair, Axis Capital

Graham Drury, Director of UK Financial Services, Google Cloud

Beth Dugan, Deputy Comptroller for Large Bank Supervision, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Ulrika Ekman, Non-Executive Director, Société Générale

Alessia Falsarone, Non-Executive Director, Assicurazioni Generali

John Fitzpatrick, Chair of the Board, Assurely

Young Kim, Counsel, Clifford Chance

Peggy Foran, Chief Governance Officer, Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Prudential Financial

Senthil Kumar, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer, BNY

Karen Gavan, Audit Committee Chair, Swiss Re

Joan Lamm-Tennant, Chair of the Board, Equitable Holdings and AllianceBernstein

Shyam Gidumal, Non-Executive Director, Renaissance Reinsurance

Christine Larsen, Non-Executive Director, CIBC

Tom Glocer, Lead Director, Morgan Stanley

Christine LaSala, Non-Executive Director, Sedgwick and James River Group Holdings

Matt Harris, Partner, Bain Capital Ventures

John Lister, Actuarial Committee and Risk Committee Chair, Old Mutual; Risk Committee Chair, Phoenix Life

Petri Hofsté, Audit Committee Chair, Rabobank; Non-Executive Director, Achmea

John Liver, Non-Executive Director, Barclays UK

Ingrid Holmes, Executive Director, Green Finance Institute

Monica Mächler, Non-Executive Director, Zurich Insurance Group

Jim Islam, Chief Executive Officer, One Family

Liz Mitchell, Non-Executive Director, Principal Financial

Penny James, Non-Executive Director, QBE Insurance Group

Kjersten Moody, Chief Data Officer, Prudential Financial

Yasmin Jetha, Group Sustainable Banking Committee Chair, NatWest

Bill Morneau, Non-Executive Director, CIBC

Matthew Jones, Head of Ventures, MS Transverse

Nathan Moss, Chair of the Board and People, Culture and Governance Committee Chair, Wesleyan Assurance Society

Diane Jurgens, Non-Executive Director, Standard Chartered

Barry Novick, President, Beryl Technical Services

Henna Karna, Non-Executive Director, Hamilton Insurance Group

Ed Ocampo, Risk Committee Chair, JPMorgan Securities

Jonathan Kewley, Partner; Co-Chair, Global Tech Group, Clifford Chance

Lewis O'Donald, Non-Executive Director, HSBC Bank plc

Sally Orton, Non-Executive Director, Nationwide Building Society

Andy Ozment, Executive Vice President, Chief Technology Risk Officer, Capital One

Debra Perry, Finance and Risk Committee Chair, Assurant; Non-Executive Director, Genworth Financial

Marvin Pestcoe, Underwriting and Risk Committee Chair, Hamilton Insurance Group

Marty Pfinsgraff, Risk Committee Chair, PNC Financial

Mary Phibbs, Non-Executive Director, Just Group

Geneviève Piché, Head of Sustainable Finance and Advisory, Wells Fargo

Lisa Pollina, Non-Executive Director, Munich Re America

Vasant Prabhu, Non-Executive Director, Intuit

Alessa Quane, Executive Vice President and Chief Insurance Officer, Oscar Insurance; Non-Executive Director, AXA XL

Russ Rawlings, Regional Vice President of Financial Services and Public Sector, UK, Databricks

Phil Rivett, Audit Committee Chair, Standard Chartered and Non-Executive Director, Nationwide Building Society

Sarah Russell, Audit Committee Chair, ABN AMRO

Lisa Ryu, Senior Associate Director, Federal Reserve Board

Mohit Sarvaiya, International Chief Information Officer, BNY

Sarah Smith, Non-Executive Director, Aon

Val Smith, Chief Sustainability Officer, Managing Director, Citigroup

Elisabeth Stheeman, Non-Executive Director, M&G

John Sutherland, Observer to the Audit Committee, European Investment Bank

Rhian-Mari Thomas, Chief Executive Officer, Green Finance Institute

Clare Thompson, Audit Committee Chair, M&G

Rolf Tolle, Risk and Capital Committee Chair, QBE Insurance Group

Tim Tookey, Audit Committee Chair, Royal London

Manuela Veloso, PhD, Managing Director, Head, JPMorgan Chase AI Research, JPMorgan Chase

David Wildermuth, President of UBS Americas Holdings and Chair of CUSO Management Committee, UBS

Dael Williamson, EMEA Chief Technology Officer, Databricks

Barbara Yastine, Corporate Governance,
Nominating and Social Responsibility Committee
Chair, Axis Capital Holdings; Compensation
Committee Chair, Primerica

Al Zollar, Technology Committee Chair, BNY

EY

Omar Ali, Global Financial Services Leader;
EMEIA Financial Services Regional Managing
Partner

Shawn Smith, Americas Financial Services
Industry Group Leader

Jeff Gill, Americas Insurance Leader

Phil Vermeulen, EMEIA Financial Services
Insurance Leader

Nikhil Lele, Americas Consulting Banking and
Capital Markets Leader

Sophia Yen, Senior Principal and Insurance
Strategy and Innovation Leader

Nigel Moden, Global and EMEIA Banking and
Capital Markets Leader

Isabelle Santenac, Global Insurance Leader

Tapestry Networks

Dennis Andrade, Managing Director

Brenna McNeill, Associate

Eric Baldwin, Executive Director

Tucker Nielsen, Managing Director

Tiffany Luehrs, Associate

About this document

The Financial Services Leadership Network (FSLN) is a group of financial services board members, executives, and stakeholders, together with other subject matter experts committed to addressing pressing problems and enhancing trust in financial markets. The network is organized and led by Tapestry Networks with the support of EY as part of its continuing commitment to board effectiveness and good governance.

ViewPoints is produced by Tapestry Networks to stimulate timely, substantive board discussions about the choices confronting audit committee members, management, and their advisers as they endeavor to fulfill their respective responsibilities to the investing public. The ultimate value of *ViewPoints* lies in its power to help all constituencies develop their own informed points of view on these important issues. Those who receive *ViewPoints* are encouraged to share it with others in their own networks. The more board members, members of management, and advisers who become systematically engaged in this dialogue, the more value will be created for all.

About Tapestry Networks

Since 2004, Tapestry has been the premier firm for building collaboration platforms with leaders of the world’s foremost organizations. Tapestry Networks brings senior leaders together to learn and to shape solutions to today’s most pressing challenges. We are a trusted convener of board directors, executives, policymakers, and other stakeholders, connecting them with information, insight, and each other. Top experts join our discussions to learn from the leaders we convene and to share their knowledge. Our platforms help educate the market, identify good practices, and develop shared solutions. We call this the power of connected thinking.

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction, and advisory services to the financial services industry. The insights and quality services it delivers help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. EY develops outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, EY plays a critical role in building a better working world for its people, for its clients, and for its communities. EY supports the networks as part of its continuing commitment to board effectiveness and good governance in the financial services sector.

The perspectives presented in this document are the sole responsibility of Tapestry Networks and do not necessarily reflect the views of network members or participants, their affiliated organizations, or EY. Please consult your counselors for specific advice. EY refers to the global organization and may refer to one or more of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. Tapestry Networks and EY are independently owned and controlled organizations. This material is prepared and copyrighted by Tapestry Networks with all rights reserved. It may be reproduced and redistributed, but only in its entirety, including all copyright and trademark legends. Tapestry Networks and the associated logos are trademarks of Tapestry Networks, Inc., and EY and the associated logos are trademarks of EYGM Ltd.

Endnotes

- ¹ *ViewPoints* reflects the network's use of a modified version of the Chatham House Rule whereby comments are not attributed to individuals or corporations. Quotations in italics are drawn from conversations with participants in connection with the meeting.
- ² Anita Hawser, "[EBRD's Climate Head Questions Utility of Net Zero Bank Targets](#)," *Banker*, May 17, 2024.
- ³ "[Emissions Grew in 2023, but Clean Energy Is Limiting the Growth](#)," IEA, accessed Dec. 9, 2024.
- ⁴ "[Share of Primary Energy Consumption that Comes from Renewables](#)," Our World in Data, accessed Dec. 9, 2024.
- ⁵ "[AI Is Poised to Drive 160% Increase in Data Center Power Demand](#)," Goldman Sachs, May 14, 2024.
- ⁶ Rebecca Elliott, "[Exxon Chief to Trump: Don't Withdraw from Paris Climate Deal](#)," *New York Times*, November 12, 2024.
- ⁷ Kate Abnett, "[EU Climate Policies Could Be Slowed in Future after Rightward shift in Election](#)," *Reuters*, June 10, 2024.
- ⁸ Simon Gessop and Ross Kerber, "[JPMorgan, State Street Quit Climate Group, BlackRock Steps Back](#)," *Reuters*, February 15, 2024.
- ⁹ Kristen McDuffy, Vikas Agrawal, and Christian Schaefer, "[Private Credit: Funding the Climate Transition](#)," Goldman Sachs Asset Management, October 21, 2024.
- ¹⁰ Joshua Joseph Pangelinan and Adriana Bazán Fuster, "[The Next Wave: Global Trends in Sustainable Finance Taxonomies](#)," CCAP Blog, September 9, 2024.
- ¹¹ Rafael Mariano Grossi, "[Meeting Global Climate Goals Requires a Step Change in Nuclear Investment](#)," World Economic Forum, November 8, 2024.
- ¹² Lee Harris and Malcolm Moore, "[World's Biggest Banks Pledge Support for Nuclear Power](#)," *Financial Times*, September 22, 2024.
- ¹³ Martin Wolf, "[Climate Change Is a Global Problem—it Requires a Global Solution](#)," *Financial Times*, November 26, 2024.